SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	NEW YORK	**
TAMEKA SIMMONS,	5 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 55 - 55 -	
	Plaintiff,	<u>ECF CASE</u>
-against-		10 Civ. 8990 (JSR) (RLE)
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS FELD, LLP,	HAUER &	AFFIRMATION OF DEBRA L. RASKIN
	Defendant.	
X		

- Debra L. Raskin deposes and says:
- 1. I am a member of Vladeck, Waldman, Elias & Engelhard, P.C., counsel for plaintiff Tameka Simmons ("plaintiff" or "Simmons") in this action. I submit this affirmation in opposition to the motion for summary judgment of defendant Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP. ("Akin," the "Firm" or "defendant").
- 2. On or about October 12, 2010, I became aware that government investigators had called Simmons about a pending investigation concerning a client for whom she had done work during her tenure with defendant. On the same day, I phoned Akin counsel to request that the Firm provide Simmons with counsel to ensure that she properly fulfilled all of her ethical obligations and the requirements of attorney-client privilege in connection with the investigation. Defendant flatly refused. On the following day, defendant offered to allow Simmons to consult with in-house counsel, which was inappropriate given the conflict of interest posed by Simmons's pending claims. Only after repeated requests did Akin finally agree to afford Simmons independent counsel..

- 3. On or about March 8, 2011, I sent Akin a notice for the deposition of Alexia Richmond ("Richmond"), a paralegal in the New York office of Akin's Investment Funds Practice Group, on March 23, 2011.
- 4. On March 14, 2011, defendant's counsel notified me that Richmond had "resigned." A copy of defendant's e-mail is attached in Exhibit 62.
  - 5. Fact discovery in this matter closed on March 25, 2011.
- 6. On or about March 29, 2011, plaintiff learned that Richmond's counsel had exchanged letters with defendant's counsel with respect to the termination of Richmond's employment and this matter. On April 14, 2011, I requested that defendant produce such letters; without denying that they exist, defendant refused. A copy of plaintiff's and defendant's letter is attached in Exhibit 62.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a redacted version of a true and correct copy of plaintiff's deposition transcript, dated February 23, 2011.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a redacted version of a true and correct copy of the deposition transcript of Christopher Gorman-Evans ("Gorman-Evans"), dated March 10, 2011. An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a redacted version of a true and correct copy of the deposition transcript of Arina Lekhel ("Lekhel"), dated March 15, 2011. An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a redacted version of a true and correct copy of the deposition transcript of Prakash Mehta ("Mehta"), dated March 4, 2011. An unredacted version will be filed under seal.

- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a redacted version of a true and correct copy of the deposition transcript of Ann Tadajweski ("Tadajweski"), dated March 18, 2011. An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a redacted version of a true and correct copy of the deposition transcript of Stephen Vine ("Vine"), dated March 1, 2011. An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is an e-mail from Simmons to Tadajweksi, dated January 1, 2008. (Bates No. AG 3559)<sup>1</sup>
- 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is an e-mail among Vine and others, dated April 25, 2008, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 16. (Bates No. AG 614-15)
- 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 are pages excerpted from an Akin Firm Manual, dated April 2007, taken from Vine Deposition Exhibit 11. (Bates No. AG 928, 967-92) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 1006, the complete document has not been publicly filed.
- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is an undated chart of defendant's corporate and funds attorneys, marked as Mehta Deposition Exhibit 9. (Bates No. AG 5693-707)
- 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is an e-mail from Simmons to Fermin, dated March 21, 2008. (Bates No. AG 4308)
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a redacted version of charts titled "IFPE Attorneys With Gender and Ethnicity," dated July 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, parts of which are marked as Vine Deposition Exhibits 3 and 4. (Bates No. AG 2894-902, 5735-37) = An unredacted version will be filed under seal.

All documents denoted "AG" were produced by defendant in discovery.

- 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is an undated document titled "Partner Advisor Guidelines," marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 1. (Bates No. AG 16-17)
- 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 14 are e-mails among Barth, Vine, Gorman-Evans, and others, dated July 23, 2007, marked as Gorman-Evans Deposition Exhibit 2. (Bates No. AG 2149)
- 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 is a redacted version of a page excerpted from the hours charts of Perez, dated April 2008. (Bates No. AG 7109) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 is a chart of the Investment Funds Practice Group ("IFPG") associates' vacation and leave days used for 2007 to 2009. (Bates No. AG 5793-816) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 1006, the complete document has not been publicly filed.
- 23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 is an e-mail from Rebecca C. Rizzo to Debra L. Raskin, dated March 4, 2011, marked as Gorman-Evans Deposition Exhibit 1.
- 24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 is an article from the National Law Journal titled "Law Firm Diversity Among Associates Erodes in 2010," dated November 4, 2010.
- 25. Attached hereto as Exhibit 19 are e-mails between Vine and Paulson, dated May 19, 2008, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 26. (Bates No. AG 5753-55)
- 26. Attached hereto as Exhibit 20 is a redacted version of a 2008 Non-Partner Attornery/Advisor Evaluation for marked as Lekhel Deposition Exhibit 4. (Bates No. AG 2795-803) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.

273516 vi 4

- 27. Attached hereto as Exhibit 21 is a redacted version of a 2009 Non-Partner Attornery/Advisor Evaluation for marked as Lekhel Deposition Exhibit 5. (Bates No. AG 2812-20) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 28. Attached hereto as Exhibit 22 is a redacted version of a 2008 Non-Partner Attorney/Advisor Evaluation for (Bates No. AG 2821-28) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 29. Attached hereto as Exhibit 23 are e-mails among Sturman, Tadajweski, and Bruynes, dated October 1, 2008, marked as Tadajweski Deposition Exhibit 6. (Bates No. AG 1807-09)
  - 30. Exhibit 24 has intentionally been left blank.
- 31. Attached hereto as Exhibit 25 is a redacted version of e-mails among Koopersmith, Mehta, and Vine, dated October 27, 2008, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 20. (Bates No. AG 2964) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 32. Attached hereto as Exhibit 26 is a 2008 Evaluation for Simmons, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 19. (Bates No. AG 800-06)
- 33. Attached hereto as Exhibit 27 is an e-mail from Meserole to various, dated September 4, 2008, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 18. (Bates No. AG 993-96)
- 34. Attached hereto as Exhibit 28 is a 2009 Evaluation for Simmons, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 25. (Bates No. AG 807-15)
- 35. Attached hereto as Exhibit 29 are e-mails between Beckler-Knoll and Simmons, dated January 7, 2009, marked as Pl. Deposition Exhibit 25. (Bates No. AG 1915-18)
- 36. Attached hereto as Exhibit 30 are e-mails between Vine and Mourges, dated July 10, 2008, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 12. (Bates No. AG 532-33)

- 37. Attached hereto as Exhibit 31 are a 2009 Reduction in Force chart and an e-mail from McLean to various, dated March 6, 2009, marked as Mehta Deposition Exhibit 4. (Bates No. AG 2919-22)
- 38. Attached hereto as Exhibit 32 are e-mails between Tadajweski and Lekhel, dated September 17, 2007, marked as Lekhel Deposition Exhibit 3. (Bates No. AG 1616)
  - 39. Exhibit 33 has intentionally been left blank.
- 40. Attached hereto as Exhibit 34 are Akin Gump's EEOC Position Statement and a follow-up letter, dated April 30 and August 2, 2010. (Bates No. AG 2850-66, 2887-93)
  - 41. Exhibit 35 has intentionally been left blank.
- 42. Attached hereto as Exhibit 36 is an e-mail from the Akin Human Resources Department to various, dated September 11, 2009, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 24. (Bates No. AG 5029-31)
- 43. Attached hereto as Exhibit 37 is a redacted version of a 2009 Non-Partner Attornery/Advisor Evaluation for (Bates No. AG 5645-5653) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 44. Attached hereto as Exhibit 38 is a redacted version of a 2009 Non-Partner Attornery/Advisor Evaluation for (Bates No. AG 5619-5626) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
  - 45. Exhibit 39 has intentionally been left blank.
  - 46. Exhibit 40 has intentionally been left blank.
- 47. Attached hereto as Exhibit 41 is an e-mail from Botter to various, dated April 6, 2009, marked as Pl. Deposition Exhibit 19. (Bates No. AG 5318)

<sup>273516</sup> ¥1 6

- 48. Attached hereto as Exhibit 42 is a resume of Tameka Simmons, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 7. (Bates No. AG 596)
  - 49. Exhibit 43 has intentionally been left blank.
- 50. Attached hereto as Exhibit 44 are e-mails among Simmons, Sturman, and Vine, dated June 13, 2007, marked as Mehta Deposition Exhibit 1. (Bates No. AG 623-24)
- 51. Attached hereto as Exhibit 45 is an offer letter to Simmons from Botter, dated June 7, 2007, marked as Pl. Deposition Exhibit 4. (Bates No. AG 570)
  - 52. Exhibit 46 has intentionally been left blank.
- 53. Attached hereto as Exhibit 47 are articles from the Akin website, marked as Tadajweski Deposition Exhibit 12. (Bates No. AG 853-60)
- 54. Attached hereto as Exhibit 48 is an e-mail from Beckler-Knoll to Simmons, dated August 21, 2007, marked as Pl. Deposition Exhibit 6. (Bates No. AG 15)
- 55. Attached hereto as Exhibit 49 is an e-mail from Mehta to various, dated January 28, 2008, marked as Tadajweski Deposition Exhibit 1. (Bates No. AG 1717)
- 56. Attached hereto as Exhibit 50 are e-mails between Gorman-Evans and Tadajweski, dated July 29, 2007, marked as Gorman-Evans Deposition Exhibit 6. (Bates No. AG 1653)
- 57. Attached hereto as Exhibit 51 are e-mails between Tadajweski and Gorman-Evans, dated December 10, 2007, marked as Gorman-Evans Deposition Exhibit 18. (Bates No. AG 1709-14)
- 58. Attached hereto as Exhibit 52 are e-mails among Vine, Gorman-Evans, Mehta, and others, dated September 12, 2007, marked as Gorman-Evans Deposition Exhibit 3. (Bates No. AG 1655)

- 59. Attached hereto as Exhibit 53 is an e-mail from Ojediran to Tadejewski, dated December 6, 2007, marked as Tadajweski Deposition Exhibit 2. (Bates No. AG 1742)
  - 60. Exhibit 54 has intentionally been left blank.
- 61. Attached hereto as Exhibit 55 is a redacted version of hours charts for Sheila Azad for 2007 to 2010. (Bates No. AG 5898-6084) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 1006, only pages bearing Bates No. AG 5898-902 will be filed publicly; however, the complete document will be filed under seal.
- 62. Attached hereto as Exhibit 56 are NALP profiles for Akin's U.S. offices, spanning 2004 to 2010. (Bates No. Pl. 2001-27)
- 63. Attached hereto as Exhibit 57 is a 2007 article from the Akin website titled "Commitment to Diversity." (Bates No. AG 871-72)
- 64. Attached hereto as Exhibit 58 is a memorandum to Partners from McLean and Mason, dated February 9, 2006, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 28. (Bates No. AG 5687-89, 5666-67)
- 65. Attached hereto as Exhibit 59 is a printout of Akin's diversity webpage, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 2. (Bates No. AG 853-54)
- 66. Attached hereto as Exhibit 60 is an undated betterlegalprofession.org chart of diversity rankings for Manhattan firms, submitted in support of plaintiff's EEOC charge. (Bates No. Pl. 242)
- 67. Attached hereto as Exhibit 61 are e-mails among Simmons and various from 2009. (Bates No. AG 1646, 2133, 2191, 2373, 4811, 5012, 5245, 5296, 5297, 5343)

<sup>273516 v1</sup> 8

- 68. Attached hereto as Exhibit 62 is a series of correspondence among Christine Kearns to Debra L. Raskin, Liane Rice, and Rebecca C. Rizzo, dated March and April, 2011.
  - 69. Exhibit 63 has intentionally been left blank.
  - 70. Exhibit 64 has intentionally been left blank.
- 71. Attached hereto as Exhibit 65 are e-mails among the Human Resources Department, Meserole, and Vine, dated April 21, 2008, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 14. (Bates No. AG 3297-99)
  - 72. Exhibit 66 has intentionally been left blank.
  - 73. Exhibit 67 has intentionally been left blank.
- 74. Attached hereto as Exhibit 68 are e-mails among Tadajweski, Gorman-Evans, Simmons, and Hsu, dated December 19, 2007, marked as Gorman-Evans Deposition Exhibit 21. (Bates No. AG 1743-46)
- 75. Attached hereto as Exhibit 69 is a 2010 chart of attorney departures, marked as Mehta Deposition Exhibit 8. (Bates No. AG 5594-96)
  - 76. Exhibit 70 has intentionally been left blank.
  - 77. Exhibit 71 has intentionally been left blank.
- 78. Attached hereto as Exhibit 72 is a redacted version of an e-mail from Vine to various, dated February 4, 2009, marked as Tadajweski Deposition Exhibit 11. (Bates No. AG 1818) An unredacted version will be filed under seal.
- 79. Attached hereto as Exhibit 73 is an Akin newsletter, dated January 15, 2009, marked as Mehta Deposition Exhibit 2. (Bates No. AG 4840-46)

- 80. Attached hereto as Exhibit 74 is an e-mail from Mehta to Koopersmith and Vine, dated February 28, 2009. (Bates No. AG 1348-49)
- 81. Attached hereto as Exhibit 75 is an e-mail from Paulson to Sirianni, dated March 9, 2009. (Bates No. AG 1319)
- 82. Attached hereto as Exhibit 76 is an e-mail from Paulson to Williams and Bell, dated March 11, 2009. (Bates No. AG 1316)
- 83. Attached hereto as Exhibit 77 is an e-mail from Gavit to various, dated March 5, 2009, marked as Vine Deposition Exhibit 22. (Bates No. AG 1256-59)
- 84. Attached hereto as Exhibit 78 is an e-mail among Koopersmith, McLean, and others, dated February 22, 2009, marked as Mehta Deposition Exhibit 6. (Bates No. AG 1351)
  - 85. Exhibit 79 has intentionally been left blank.
  - 86. Exhibit 80 has intentionally been left blank.
- 87. Attached hereto as Exhibit 81 is and e-mail among Mehta, Vine, Tadajweski, and others, dated June 7, 2007. (Bates No. 613-15)
- 88. Attached hereto as Exhibit 82 is a redacted version of a chart of hours for Chung for 2007 to 2010. (Bates No. 6342-522) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 1006, only pages bearing Bates No. AG 6342-46 will be filed publicly; however, the complete document will be filed under seal.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 25, 2010, in New York, New York.

DEBRA L. RASKIN